## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

vs. : Case No. 3:05-cr-13

Samuel R. Franklin, : Initial Appearance/

: Arraignment/Plea

Defendant. : to Information

Transcript of proceedings before the Honorable Thomas A. Varlan,

U. S. District Judge, on February 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2005.

Appearances:

On behalf of the Plaintiff:

Charles E. Atchley, Jr., Esq. 50 U. S. Attorney's Office

Knoxville, Tennessee

On behalf of the Defendant:

Andrew S. Roskind, Esq. Knoxville, Tennessee

Court Reporter:

Donnetta Kocuba, RMR 800 Market Street, Suite 132 Knoxville, Tennessee 37902 (865) 524-4590



1	(Whereupon, Wednesday, February 23 <sup>rd</sup> , 2005, Court
2	convened in the following matter at 2:04 p.m.)
3	THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone. Call up the
4	next case, please.
5	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Criminal Action 3:05-cr-13,
6	United States of America versus Samuel R. Franklin. Charles
7	Atchley is here on behalf of the Government; is the Government
8	present and ready to proceed?
9	MR. ATCHLEY: Present and ready, your Honor.
10	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Andrew Roskind is here on
11	behalf of the Defendant; is the Defendant present and ready to
12	proceed?
13	MR. ROSKIND: Present and ready, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, again. Mr.
15	Atchley, why don't we begin with your giving us the status of this
16	case?
17	MR. ATCHLEY: Yes, sir. Your Honor, the United
18	States filed a bill of information against the Defendant, Samuel R.
19	Franklin, alleging a violation of United States Code 241,
20	conspiracy against civil rights, Case No. 3:05-cr-13. Mr. Franklin
21	is here today to enter a guilty plea.
22	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Franklin, if
23	you would approach the lectern along with your counsel, we'll
24	have you sworn in by the courtroom deputy.
25	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Would you please raise your

right hand? Do you solemnly swear or affirm that you will true answers make to all questions asked you at this time, as you shall answer unto God; if so, please say, "I do."

MR. FRANKLIN: Ido.

COURTROOM DEPUTY: And would you please state and spell your full name for the record?

MR. FRANKLIN: Samuel R. Franklin, S-a-m-u-e-l, middle initial R, F-r-a-n-k-l-i-n.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Franklin. Since we're here today on an information filed on February 3, 2005, we need to begin with an initial appearance and arraignment. The purpose is to advise you of the charges against you and of your rights, to confirm your representation by counsel as to the information, and to advise you the schedule of further proceedings.

This initial appearance/arraignment is not a trial, and you will not be called upon to present any defense as to the charges contained in the information at this time. First of all, I must advise you of your constitutional rights. You're not required to make a statement, and any statement made by you may be used against you at this or a subsequent hearing.

You have the right to remain silent. Anything you do say may be used against you in court. You may consult with an attorney prior to questioning, and you may have the attorney present during questioning. Counsel will be appointed for you without charge if you cannot afford one and if you choose to make a statement or

1	answer questions without the presence of counsel, you may stop
2	answering at any time.
3	All right. Let me confirm, first of all, Mr. Franklin, did you
4	hear me and understand me when I read your rights to you?
5	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: And do you have any questions about
7	your rights before we proceed?
8	MR. FRANKLIN: No, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Would you please relate for us your
10	educational background very briefly?
11	MR. FRANKLIN: A high school diploma from
12	Campbell County High School and a half year of college with
13	Lincoln Memorial University.
14	THE COURT: Do you have any difficulties, do you
15	believe you would have any difficulties in your ability to
16	comprehend these proceedings?
17	MR. FRANKLIN: No, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: And do you have any physical or mental
19	problems which may impair your ability to participate in or
20	understand these proceedings?
21	MR. FRANKLIN: No, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Have you consumed any prescription
23	medication, drugs or alcohol, in the past 24 hours which would
24	affect your ability to understand this proceeding?
25	MR. FRANKLIN: No, your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Do you have legal counsel for this initial
2	appearance and arraignment?
3	MR. FRANKLIN: Ido, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Is that Mr. Roskind?
5	MR. FRANKLIN: That's correct.
6	THE COURT: Your legal counsel here today is Mr.
7	Andrew Roskind, correct?
8	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Thank you. Have you been provided a
10	copy of the information, and if so, have you discussed it with your
11	counsel?
12	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Roskind, let me ask if you wanted to
14	waive, on behalf of your client, formal reading of the information?
15	MR. ROSKIND: Yes, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Franklin, let me now ask
17	you, do you understand the nature of the charges against you?
18	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Atchley, if you would please
20	advise the Defendant as to the maximum possible penalty he could
21	receive if convicted under the one, as I understand, the one-count
22	information.
23	MR. ATCHLEY: Yes, sir. He's facing a maximum of
24	ten years imprisonment. There's not a mandatory minimum
5	associated with this crime A maximum of \$250,000 in fines up to

three years of supervised release, upon release from prison; and a 1 \$100 special assessment. 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Franklin, do 3 you understand the Government's position as to the maximum 4 penalty? 5 MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: And, Mr. Roskind, is your client now 7 prepared to offer a plea and proceed forward? 8 MR. ROSKIND: He is, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. We'll now proceed into the 10 acceptance of plea portion of today's proceedings. Mr. Franklin, I 11 remind you, you have been sworn in as part of the initial 12 arraignment, and that's applicable to this part of the proceedings as 13 well. 14 Before I accept your guilty plea, Mr. Franklin, there are a 15 number of questions I need to ask you to assure your plea is a valid 16 one. First, do you understand that you are under oath, and if you 17 answer any of my questions falsely, your answers may later be used 18 19 against you in another prosecution for perjury or for making a false statement? 20 MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Some of these questions I've already 22 asked you, but let me just go over them again briefly. How far did 23 you go in school? 24

25

MR. FRANKLIN: A high school diploma and a half a

1	year of college.
2	THE COURT: And any difficulty reading or writing?
3	MR. FRANKLIN: No, sir.
4	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated for any
5	mental illness or addiction to narcotic drugs of any kind?
6	MR. FRANKLIN: No, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Have you taken any drugs, medicines,
8	pills or alcoholic beverage of any kind within the last 24 hours?
9	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes.
10	THE COURT: And could you tell me about that?
11	MR. FRANKLIN: My Zoloft and my Lipitor.
12	THE COURT: And those are all-those are both
13	prescription medications?
14	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Anything besides that?
16	MR. FRANKLIN: No, sir, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand what's
18	happening here today?
19	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, I do, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: And, Mr. Roskind, do you consider the
21	Defendant competent to enter a plea of guilty?
22	MR. ROSKIND: I do, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Franklin, now let me ask you have
24	you received a copy of the information?
25	MR FRANKLIN. Vec your Honor

1	THE COURT: And have you had an ample opportunity
2	to discuss the charge against you and your case with your lawyer,
3	Mr. Roskind?
4	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Have you told your lawyer everything
6	you know about this case?
7	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Do you believe your lawyer is fully
9	aware of all the facts on which the charges against you are based?
10	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Has your lawyer advised you as to the
12	nature and meaning of the charges?
13	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Has your lawyer explained the meaning
15	of any words in the offenses charged that you might not have
16	understood?
17	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Has your lawyer specifically advised
19	you as to every element of the offenses that the Government must
20	prove beyond a reasonable doubt?
21	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Has your lawyer advised you as to any
23	defense you may have to these charges?
24	MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Has your lawyer explained the terms of

your plea agreement with you? 1 MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: And are you satisfied with the advice 3 your lawyer, Mr. Roskind, has given you in this matter? 4 MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Roskind, are you satisfied the 6 Defendant understands the charge against him? 7 I do, your Honor. MR. ROSKIND: 8 9 THE COURT: As well as the elements of the offense charged and the legal meaning of the words used in the 10 information? 11 Yes, your Honor. MR. ROSKIND: 12 THE COURT: Mr. Franklin, I now need to talk to you 13 about certain constitutional rights that you waive or give up if you 14 plead guilty. First, do you understand that you have a right to 15 plead not guilty to any offense charged against you and to persist 16 in that plea? 17 18 MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you understand that you would then 19 have the right to a trial by jury during which you would also have 20 the right to the assistance of counsel for your defense? 21 MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: You would have the right to see and hear 23 all of the witnesses and have them cross-examined in your defense 24 and the right on your own part not to testify unless you chose to do 25

so in your own defense. 1 MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Do you understand you would have the 3 right to the issuance of subpoenas or compulsory process to compel 4 the attendance of witnesses to testify in your behalf? 5 MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering a 7 plea of guilty, if that plea is accepted by the Court, there will be no 8 trial and you will have given up the right to a trial of any kind, as 9 well as those other rights associated with a trial that I've just 10 described to you? 11 MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Do you understand that you will also be 13 giving up the right not to be compelled to incriminate yourself and 14 the right to require the United States to prove you guilty beyond a 15 reasonable doubt? 16 MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Let me now ask you a few questions 18 about your entering into of your plea agreement. First, has any 19 person, including an officer or agent of the Government, put any 20 pressure on you, mental or physical, to force you to plead guilty? 21 MR. FRANKLIN: No, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Other than what is in your plea 23 agreement, has any person, including an officer or agent of the 24 Government, promised or suggested that you will receive a lighter 25

sentence or any other form of leniency if you plead guilty? 1 MR. FRANKLIN: No, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Franklin, the Court advises you that 3 under the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution, a person charged 4 with a felony has the right to an indictment by a grand jury. You 5 are before the Court on a felony charge on an information. Are you 6 waiving your right to an indictment by a grand jury? 7 MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And, Mr. Roskind, do you wish to waive 9 reading of the information? 10 MR. ROSKIND: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. The Defendant has stated he's 12 waived his right to indictment. I would ask that he sign the waiver 13 in open court at this time. 14 Let the record reflect that Mr. Roskind-excuse me-Mr. 15 Franklin and his counsel, Mr. Roskind, have executed the waiver of 16 indictment. It will be accepted for filing and made a part of the 17 record. 18 Mr. Atchley, I would now ask, on behalf of the Government, 19 if-we'll turn to you, and if you would state the Government's case 20 against this Defendant, including the specific charges, the facts 21 associated with those charges, and the maximum possible 22 punishment for the charges. 23 MR. ATCHLEY: Yes, sir. Again, he's entering a 24 guilty plea to a violation of 18 United States Code Section 241, 25

conspiracy to violate an individual's civil rights. The maximum penalty is up to ten years imprisonment. There's not a mandatory minimum associated with it. A maximum of \$250,000 in fines, up to three years of supervised release and a \$100 special assessment.

The United States would intend to prove in this case that the Defendant, while employed as a law enforcement officer and acting under color of law with the Campbell County Sheriff's Department in Campbell County, Tennessee, and four fellow law enforcement officers, David Webber, Joshua Monday, Shayne Green and William Carroll, went to Lester Eugene Siler's home on July the 8th, 2004, to serve an arrest warrant.

The Defendant, together with the other four officers, agreed to threaten, intimidate and physically assault Mr. Siler in order to obtain his consent to search his residence. The officers handcuffed Mr. Siler outside his home, brought him inside the home and made him sit in a chair.

The Defendant and others ordered Mr. Siler's wife and son to leave the residence. The Defendant and the other four officers repeatedly threatened Mr. Siler with severe bodily harm unless he cooperated by signing a consent to search form. The threats included, but were not limited to, threats to shoot Mr. Siler, to beat him, to kill him, to burn him with a cigarette lighter and to break his fingers.

The Defendant failed to intervene when other officers attached wires from a battery charger to Mr. Siler and threatened to

electrocute him. Defendant failed to intervene when other officers slapped, hit, kicked and otherwise unlawfully assaulted Mr. Siler while he was handcuffed and not resisting; thereby causing Mr.

The Defendant failed to intervene when other officers used dangerous weapons, including, but not limited to, a firearm and a plastic baseball bat or similar object, to threaten, intimidate and beat Mr. Siler while he was handcuffed and not resisting, causing

In order to perpetuate the conspiracy, on or about July 19<sup>th</sup>, 2004, the Defendant lied to the Tennessee Bureau of Investigation by denying the use of threats and unreasonable force against Mr. Siler, and he did this in order to cover up this incident and obstruct any investigation, state or federal, into it.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Atchley. Mr. Franklin, you can return to the lectern now. Mr. Franklin, let me ask you, did you hear the Government's case against you?

MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And do you agree with the

Government's summary of what you did?

MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: As to the information charging a violation of 18 United States Code Section 241, how do you wish to plead, guilty or not guilty?

MR. FRANKLIN: Guilty.

24

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: And do you understand what it is that you're pleading guilty to?

MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And are you offering to plead guilty because you are, in fact, guilty?

MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Franklin, if your plea is accepted, you will be judged guilty of a felony, and this may deprive you of valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearms.

The Court also advises you that the Court is required to consider any applicable sentencing guidelines, but the Court may vary from those guidelines under some circumstances. Have you had the opportunity to discuss with your attorney, Mr. Roskind, the possible application of these guidelines to your case?

MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court further advises you that should it accept your plea of guilty your sentence could be enhanced or increased due to any prior convictions you may have. In addition, if the Court accepts your plea of guilty, a judgment of conviction will result, and this conviction may be used against you in the future if you should be convicted in any subsequent proceeding to enhance or increase any sentence you might receive for any future offenses you might commit.

In other words, your guilty plea in this case could hurt you in the future in the event of any future wrongdoing; do you understand that?

MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Franklin, do you understand the Government has not made any agreement to recommend a particular sentence and that your sentence is to be determined by the Court in conformity with any applicable sentencing guidelines?

MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You further understand you'll not be permitted to withdraw your plea on the basis of the sentence you might receive? In other words, you can't wait to see what the sentence is going to be and then decide whether to plead guilty?

MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court will not be able to determine the appropriate sentence until it has received the pre-sentence report, which you and the Government will have an opportunity to consider and challenge the facts in that report.

Under some circumstances, the Government may have the right to appeal the sentence imposed by this court. The Court advises you that parole has been abolished, and if you are sentenced to prison, you will not be released on parole.

Let me ask you, Mr. Franklin, are you presently on probation as to any previous offense?

MR. FRANKLIN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you presently on parole from any jail or penal institution?

MR. FRANKLIN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. The Court is also required to inform you that as a condition of any period of supervised release or probation that might be imposed in this case, such probation or supervised release will be revoked should you be found in possession of any controlled substances or of a firearm. This revocation is now mandatory under federal law.

Knowing these various penalties, do you still wish to plead guilty?

MR. FRANKLIN: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court has observed the appearance of this defendant and his responsiveness to the questions asked. Based upon these observations and the answers to the questions, the Court finds the Defendant, Mr. Samuel R. Franklin, is in full possession of his faculties and is competent to plead guilty.

The Defendant is not under the influence of narcotics or other drugs or alcohol, other than the prescription medication referenced earlier in the proceeding. The Defendant knowingly waives his constitutional rights to trial and the other rights accorded to persons accused of crime.

The Defendant understands the nature of the charge to which the plea is offered and the maximum penalty provided by law for this offense. The Defendant has offered to plead guilty knowingly

and voluntarily. The Defendant understands the plea agreement made on his behalf in this case.

Accordingly, the plea of guilty will be accepted, and the Defendant, Samuel Franklin, is hereby adjudged guilty of violating 18 United States Code Section 241; that is, on or about July 8th, 2004, in the Eastern District of Tennessee, the Defendant, then a detective with the Campbell County Sheriff's Department, while acting under color of law, did willfully combine, conspire and agree with other persons known to the United States to injure, oppress, threaten and intimidate, Lester Eugene Siler, in the free exercise and enjoyment of rights secured to him by the constitution and laws of the United States; that is, the right to be free from unreasonable search and seizure, which includes the right to be free from the use of unreasonable force by one acting under color of law.

Mr. Franklin, do you understand that you will be asked to give information to the probation officer for the pre-sentence report, and you may have your attorney present with you at that time, if you wish?

MR. FRANKLIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You and your attorney will be permitted to read the pre-sentence report before the sentencing hearing. Within 14 days of receipt of the pre-sentence report, all parties must file any objections to the report with the Clerk of Court or, if there are no objections, file a written statement that there are no

objections with the Clerk. Service of either document must include the probation office.

Within ten days of filing any objections, the adverse party may file a response with the Clerk and serve it upon the probation office. And let me note to both counsel, if either party intends to request a sentence outside of the Advisory Guideline Range, then you should file a sentencing memorandum setting forth your position also within 14 days of your receipt of the pre-sentence report.

If any evidentiary hearing is required on any objections to the report, the party must expressly request the hearing at the time of filing any objections or response. And you are advised, Mr. Franklin, that both you and your attorney will be permitted to speak on your behalf at the sentencing hearing.

Defendant has filed a motion to be allowed to remain on recognizance pending sentencing. Mr. Roskind, do you wish to add anything regarding that motion?

MR. ROSKIND: I do, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Franklin, you can return to counsel's table now, and we will hear from your counsel.

MR. ATCHLEY: Your Honor, I tender into the record the plea agreement and stipulation of facts.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Atchley. We'll accept for filing and make part of the record the original executed plea agreement and stipulation of facts.

MR. ROSKIND: Your Honor, as you are aware, we have filed a motion requesting the release of Mr. Franklin pending the formal sentencing in this matter. As an initial matter, let me state that the facts contained in the memorandum of law, the motion, correlate to the facts as alleged in the information only.

Your Honor, we are requesting release pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 3145(c). I believe, your Honor, the Government and Mr. Franklin can agree to the following items: One, Mr. Franklin has pled guilty to this offense, and this offense is one that's considered a crime of violence.

Two, because the offense is a crime of violence, Mr. Franklin is subject to detention. Now, the term "subject to" is a presumption in the law that the Defendant can rebut, and it is by this motion that we intend to do that.

Three, Section 3145(c) allows for release when it is clearly shown that there are exceptional reasons why Mr. Franklin's detention would not be appropriate. And, lastly, I think we can agree that this court is authorized to make these necessary findings under exceptional circumstances.

As an initial matter, your Honor, under 18 U.S.C. Section 3143(a)(1), we have to show that it is not likely that Mr. Franklin would flee or pose a danger to the community. I believe the Government, probation, will concede, and we would submit to this court, that Mr. Franklin is not likely to flee and is not likely to pose a risk to his community.

If these two conditions are met, then Mr. Franklin bears the burden of establishing exceptional reasons as to why he should not be detained pending sentencing. Exceptional reasons have been defined by the courts as unique, rare and uncommon. As this court concluded yesterday, exceptional reasons not necessarily meaning personal hardships. As Judge Collier stated in <u>United States vs.</u>

Burnett, every defendant facing detention would inevitably face personal hardships, leaving family behind, the spouses, kids, and other loved ones.

Remember Judge Collier stated courts should look to the offense and the personal character of the defendant if there will be a variance in detention and in sentencing.

In the <u>U.S. vs. Burnett</u> case, Judge Collier stated, "the Court further notes if it is determined such personal hardships qualify to prevent or delay detention, then inevitably defendants committing the same offenses would be treated disparately for reasons unrelated to their crimes or personal character. A drug trafficker with no family would immediately go to jail while a drug trafficker convicted of the same offense with a family would remain on bail. Such disparity, unrelated to the offense or personal character of the particular defendant would be contrary to the goals of the federal criminal legal system and would not be desirable objective of a just system of law."

As to the offense element, your Honor, Mr. Franklin has pled guilty to this crime, but this fact alone should not be the ultimate

issue, for it would misconstrue the potential to allow someone to be released pending formal sentencing if merely pleading to their crime was the sole determining factor. So we have to look past just the offense that he's pled guilty to and look to Mr. Franklin's personal character, as Judge Collier has instructed.

Your Honor, I submit that Mr. Franklin has served the public for his entire adult life. Mr. Franklin joined the U.S. Army, served for four years. He received three achievement— Army achievement medals. He was served a good conduct medal which can only be awarded after four consecutive years of distinguished service.

Finally, in the Army he received his honorable discharge. From the Army Mr. Franklin joined the National Guard for two years, where he received "Soldier of the Year" award for his company. After leaving the National Guard, your Honor, Mr. Franklin joined the Campbell County Sheriff's Department.

He was a member of the Campbell County Sheriff's Department for 17 years, up until July of 2004. In 2001 he was officer of the year. Mr. Franklin headed the D.A.R.E. program in Campbell County. He went to schools, talked with Campbell County youth, tomorrow's future, about the risks associated with drugs.

He worked hand-in-hand with the Tennessee Department of Children's Services to investigate and arrest those alleged to have committed child abuse crimes. In his 17 years prior to the offense which he has already pled in open court to, Mr. Franklin never

received a complaint. Nothing's in his personnel file that would ever show that another individual or that this incident had ever occurred in the past.

Mr. Franklin gave professionally and he gave personally to his community. Where some may donate money and philanthropy, Mr. Franklin continually gave his time.

Mr. Franklin's personal character may best be described as unique and rare. Because of his personal character, the Campbell County district attorney recently stated that he was shocked to learn that Mr. Franklin was involved in this incident. Indeed, this is a man whose commitment to honor and his personal character is truly out of the ordinary.

We feel that these reasons set Mr. Franklin apart when this judge must decide on a case by case basis whether exceptional reasons exist. Every Defendant facing jail time will have personal hardships. We're not relying on personal hardships; rather, your Honor, we're relying on the history of this individual of 23 years of service to his community, to the state and to his nation, both in Campbell County and while he served in the U.S. Army and the National Guard.

We believe that these reasons separate him from others charged with similar conduct. For these reasons, your Honor, we ask that he be released pending formal sentencing in this matter.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Roskind. Let's hear from Mr. Atchley.

MR. ATCHLEY: Your Honor, the congress has been quite clear this is a crime of violence, 18 U.S.C. 241, conspiracy to violate someone's civil rights under color of law. And, quite frankly, Mr. Franklin is invariably the type of defendant that the Court will see in these cases.

They are police officers or other law enforcement officers acting under color of law that come into court and become convicted of this crime. And the congress knew that when they passed the Bail Reform Act and found that this, along with other crimes, were crimes of violence.

You're going to get individuals like Mr. Franklin, more often than not family men, some of them with many years of work in their respective police departments, I would imagine a large percentage of them veterans. It's tough. But he committed a crime of violence.

And, quite frankly, as I was sitting there, listening to details about Mr. Franklin, one thing also became very apparent to me, is that Mr. Franklin was the most experienced man in that trailer, had more experience than anybody. I mean, dag-gone it, just to boil right down to it, he knew better, he knew better.

And if we're going to talk about personal character, let's talk about that a little bit. Mr. Franklin is here because of Mr. Franklin, not because the congress passed this onerous law that requires people convicted of crimes of violence to go into custody. He is here because of what he did, not because of the other individuals in

that trailer, not because of anything other than what he did.

Now, the law is quite clear on this matter, and they have not put forth anything, anything, exceptional about Mr. Franklin that the Court could release him on. He needs to be taken into custody.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Roskind, do you want to reply in any fashion?

MR. ROSKIND: Just briefly, your Honor. Again, as Judge Collier stated, we need to look at the offense and the personal character. Now, as Mr. Atchley argued, Mr. Franklin came here today and pled guilty to this crime.

But to simply look at the offense as the deciding factor would mean that no individual under any circumstances could ever be released pending formal sentencing, as Mr. Atchley argues, by simply saying, your Honor, he's here and he's pled guilty, so we know he committed a crime of violence.

There are two parts to this, and if we continually rule that because he committed the offense he should not be released, then it almost makes that part of the statute moot. It would be unnecessary. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Atchley, before the court rules?

MR. ATCHLEY: No, sir. I won't belabor the point, but I don't think that's what I said. I think I said his factors weren't exceptional.

THE COURT: All right. Well, considering this case on

its own merits and taking in consideration the motion and response filed, as well as the arguments here today, I'm respectfully going to deny Defendant's motion to be released pending sentencing and find that Mr. Franklin, in light of his guilty plea, must be detained pending sentencing.

A few words of explanation. The Defendant appropriately acknowledges that he's pleading guilty to a crime of violence as defined in 18 United States Code Section 3156, and is subject to mandatory detention pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3143(a)(2). As discussed at yesterday's change of plea hearing in <u>U.S. v. Webber</u>, it's noted that Congress has declared as a matter of policy that all persons who are found guilty of crimes of violence must be detained, even though they individually pose no risk of flight or danger to the community, unless they clearly show exceptional reasons why detention is not appropriate.

As pointed out by the Government in its response to the motion filed with the Court, the exceptional reasons cited by this defendant are details related to his personal character. These cited reasons include Mr. Franklin's employment history with Campbell County, Campbell County Sheriff's Department, which is said to be with honor and distinction, and his previous military service with commendations.

Taking these facts as true for purposes of the present motion, while commendable, I cannot find that they are exceptional reasons arising to the category of out of the ordinary, unusual or rare, as

required by the case law interpretation of 18 United States Code 3145(c).

I have read, both before yesterday's hearing and today's hearing, Judge Collier's decision in <u>U.S. vs. Burnett</u>, which, as Mr. Roskind points out, intimates a consideration of the personal character of a defendant versus the hardship on family may imply some basis for finding exceptional reasons. But I note that no such finding was made in that case, and this court believes that a finding of exceptional reasons based on the proffer of evidence in this case could lead to the same sort of disparity and treatment of defendants against which Judge Collier cautioned in <u>Burnett</u>.

Accordingly, as stated, I'll respectfully deny Defendant's motion and find that Mr. Franklin must be detained pending sentencing.

All right. Let's look at the sentencing date. Trying to keep these various matters together, I'm going to suggest Monday, May 23<sup>rd</sup>, at 1:30 p.m., 2005.

MR. ATCHLEY: That's fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Roskind?

MR. ROSKIND: That's fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We'll set sentence in this case for Monday, May 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2005, at 1:30 p.m. Let me just make note of record that there are various people, a lot of people, in the courtroom. Sometimes sentencing dates change, the pre-sentence report takes longer, there's conflicts in the Court's calendar, so

just be advised that I'm going to endeavor do all I can to keep these 1 matters when scheduled. 2 I just kind of wanted to make that note, that it's, you know, 3 it's conceivable and that any change in the schedule obviously will 4 be communicated to counsel, but also reflected on the Court's 5 public calendar. 6 All right. Any other matters we need to bring to the Court's 7 attention at this time, Mr. Roskind on behalf of the Defendant? 8 MR. ROSKIND: No, your Honor. 9 10 THE COURT: And Mr. Atchley, on behalf of the Government? 11 MR. ATCHLEY: No, sir. 12 THE COURT: All right. We'll stand in recess. Thank 13 14 you all. 15 (Hearing concluded at 2:36 p.m.) CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is an accurate transcript of the record of proceedings in the titled matter.

Donnetta Kocuba, RPR-RMR

Official Court Reporter

U.S. District Court

Knoxville, Tennessee

1/16/08